



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/085,181	02/27/2002	Masaki Hamamoto	70551/57152	70551/57152 1419	
21874 7.	590 05/10/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP			DINH, TIE	DINH, TIEN QUANG	
P.O. BOX 55874 BOSTON, MA 02205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3644	3644	
			DATE MAIL ED: 05/10/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>	\sim
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/085,181	HAMAMOTO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tien Dinh	3644
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 16-26</u> is/are pending in the a	onlination	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 16-19</u> is/are allowed.	Wi from consideration.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-26</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f)
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	, (2) 51 (1)
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ion No.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		
application from the International Bureau		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.
Attachment(s)		
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	
2)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

Art Unit: 3644

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 20-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 20, 2nd line from the bottom, "the center of gravity" lacks antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 20-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gray or Remo Aeronautical Corp. in view of Darbyshire.

Gray or Remo Aeronautical Corp. discloses a flying body having a wing portion (with two wings) that flutters, driving portion to move the wing portion up and down, main body, and a time average for the series of down and up strokes of the wing to allow the flying body to fly. In the up stroke, the volume is less than the volume of the down stroke (this is how the aircrafts can fly). The wing portion has a wing shaft (see figure 4 in Remo and figure 1 in Gray) that supports

Application/Control Number: 10/085,181

Art Unit: 3644

the wing portion and allows the wing portion to be connected to the body. Please note that when the wing portion is in its up and down stroke positions, the torsion angle is changed so that the aircraft can fly. Therefore, the torsion angle in the down stroke is different from that of the torsion angle in the up stroke. The driving portion changes with time said torsion angle. The apparatus can hover using the two wings. Hover is defined as "To remain or linger in or near a place." Gray or Remo Aeronautical Corp. is silent on the shift of center of gravity. However, Darbyshire discloses that means to control the shift of the center of gravity is well known in the art.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have used a means of shift the center of gravity in Gray or Remo Aeronautical Corp.'s system as taught by Darbyshire to allow greater maneuverability to the flying system.

Re claim 22, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to have made the flying machine of Gray or Remo Aeronautical Corp. small enough so that it can be flown indoors.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-8 and 16-19 are allowed.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Charron discloses flying device.

Application/Control Number: 10/085,181

Art Unit: 3644

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tien Dinh whose telephone number is 703-308-2798. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Jordan can be reached on 703-306-4159. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TD

